

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,934	11/03/2003	Ikuo Takahashi	032044	5043	
38834 WESTERMAN	7590 07/19/2007 J. HATTORI, DANIELS	EXAMINER			
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			NUTTER, NATHAN M		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	. DC 20030		1711	<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.I CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10698934	11/3/03	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	032044	
		EXAMINER Nathan M Nutter		
1250 CONNECTICUT	ORI, DANIELS & ADRIA AVENUE, NW			
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER
			1711	20070718

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or

DATE MAILED:

Commissioner for Patents

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/698,934	TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Nathan M. Nutter	1711			

zororo ano i ming or an rippour ziror	Examiner	Artunit	
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply me	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	706.07(f).		
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of earnder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extantional and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	hut miss to the date of films a brief	بالمستقم مطاعما النب	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•	TE Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
I. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good awas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	-		-
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	nity is below of attach	ieu.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	out does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	att M	Kuth
		Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1711

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: No timely-filed Terminal Disclaimers a have been presented by applicants to overcome the provisional rejections on the grounds of the judicially-created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over co-pending application, SN 11/051,462, now allowed, or over co-pending application SN 11/172,904, pending. With regard to the rejection of claims 1, 3, 4 and 6-10 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imamura et al taken with either Murschall et al ('758) or 2003/0091843, the interpretation of Imura that the reference includes one of (a), (b) or (c) and includes (d), is clear as the Examiner has stated. Applicants have not shown otherwise. In this regard, the secondary reference may not be necessary to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. Regardless, the rejection was made in view of the secondary references, which show the constituents used conjunctively, to eliminate the doubt that they were used together. Regardless, the rejection is being maintained. All other interpretations are also being maintained. Applicants have not shown unexpected or surprising results in view of the teachings of the conventionality of using the constituents together.